

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 1 2011 APR 27 PM 2: 30 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463 CELA FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT MUR 6377 DATE COMPLAINT FILED: September 16, 2010 9 DATE OF NOTIFICATION: September 23, 2010 10 LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: October 14, 2010 11 DATE ACTIVATED: November 30, 2010 12 EXPIRATION OF SOL: August 24, 2015 (earliest) 13 14 October 19, 2015 (latest) 15 16 **COMPLAINANT:** Sam Lieberman 17 Chair, Nevada State Democratic Party 18 19 RESPONDENTS: Friends of Sharron Angle and Alan B. Mills, in his 20 official capacity as treasurer 21 Sharron E. Angle 22 Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her 23 official capacity as treasurer 24 Daniel J. Tarkanian 25 **RELEVANT STATUTES:** 26 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i) **27** 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a) 28 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) 29 2 U.S.C. § 441d 30 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4) 31 11 C.F.R. § 109.21 32 11 C.F.R. § 110.11 33 34 INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: Disclosure Reports 35 36 FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None 37 INTRODUCTION 38 I. 39 The complaint alleges that during the 2010 campaign for Nevada's U.S. Senate seat, 46 Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer ("HRV"), made, and 41 Friends of Sharron Angle and Alan B. Mills, in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Angle 42 Committee"), and Sharron E. Angle, accepted through their purported agent, Daniel J. "Danny"

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 15

- 1 Tarkanian, excessive in-kind contributions in the form of coordinated communications that
- 2 expressly advocated against Ms. Angle's general election opponent, Senator Harry Reid. See
- 3 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i). Mr. Tarkanian had previously lost the 2010 Republican Senate
- 4 primary in Nevada to Ms. Angle. After his loss, Mr. Tarkanian created and operated HRV. The
- 5 complaint further alleges that the title "Harry Reid Votes" violates 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4) of the
- 6 Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act"), which prohibits unauthorized
- 7 committees from using the name of a federal candidate in its title, and that public
- 8 communications HRV financed did not include the appropriate disclaimers. See 2 U.S.C.
- 9 § 441d.

For the reasons more fully discussed below, we recommend that the Commission find no 10 reason to believe that Friends of Sharron Angle and Alan B. Mills, in his official capacity as 11 treasurer, and Sharron E. Angle violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) and no reason to believe that Harry 12 Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer, and Daniel J. Tarkanian 13 14 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a) in connection with the alleged coordinated communications because the complaint does not provide sufficient facts to support the allegation, and the respondents 15 specifically deny it. We also recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Harry 16 17 Reid Vistes, and Allison Vun Over, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. 18 § 432(e)(4), because it registered as a non-connected political committee impermissibly using the name of a candidate in its title, and violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d, in connection with its failure to 19 include "paid for" and authorization statements in its radio communication. We further 20 recommend that the Commission authorize conciliation prior to a finding of probable cause to 21

Harry Reid Votes, Harry Reid Votes, Inc., and <u>www.harryreidvotes.com</u> are the same entity. "HRV" refers to all three designations, unless otherwise specified.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13-

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 15

believe with Harry Reid Votes and approve the attached proposed conciliation agreement

Finally, we recommend that the Commission

3 close the file as to Sharron E. Angle and Friends of Sharron Angle and Alan B. Mills, in his

4 official capacity as treasurer.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Factual Background

According to the Nevada Secretary of State's website, HRV filed a Non Profit Articles of Incorporation on August 18, 2010, describing as its purpose "to provide public information on federal political races." See Attachment 1. On August 20, 2010, HRV filed a Notice of Section 527 Status with the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), describing its purpose the same way, and it has filed disclosure reports with the IRS under Section 527. See Attachment 2; see also 26 U.S.C. § 527. On August 24, 2010, HRV filed a Statement of Organization with the Commission, registering as a non-connected political committee with the purpose of opposing Senator Harry Reid. See http://query.nictusa.com/cgi-bin/fecimg/? 10030413054+0. After the Complaints Examination & Legal Administration ("CELA") notified HRV that the instant complaint had been filed against it, HRV submitted a letter to the Commission stating that it had filed the Statement of Organization in error, and that it is not a political action committee or independent expanditure committee. See HRV Letter to the Commission dated October 1, 2010. Due to the ongoing enforcement matter and consistent with usual practice, the October 1, 2010, letter was treated as a termination request and denied pending the resolution of the MUR. See CELA Letter to HRV dated October 20, 2010. Counsel for HRV then submitted a letter stating that HRV's previous letter was not a request to terminate, but rather was meant to inform

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 4 of 15

- the Commission that its Statement of Organization was "void" and that it would not therefore be
- 2 filing disclosure reports with the Commission. See HRV Letter dated October 29, 2010.
- HRV has filed 24-Hour and 48-Hour Independent Expenditure Reports, and a 2010
- 4 October Quarterly Report with the Commission disclosing receipts of contributions and
- 5 independent expenditures covering the period of August 1, 2010, through October 19, 2010, as a
- 6 person or group other than a political committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(c). HAV has not filed any
- 7 diadeans reports of recoipts and diabarraments with the Commission as a political committee;
- 8 the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") has sent notices concerning HRV's non-filed reports.
- 9 See http://query.nictusa.com/ogi-hin/fecima/? 10030484425+0; see also
- 10 http://query.nictusa.com/cgi-bin/fecimg/? 11030574539+0.
- The complaint alleges that Danny Tarkanian was "apparently an agent of the Angle campaign, and yet has also registered and is operating a political committee, HRV, in order to
- 13 attack Angle's opponent [in Nevada's 2010 U.S. Senate race], Senator Harry Reid." Complaint
- 14 at 1-2. Therefore, the complaint alleges, HRV's expenditures for communications, including a
- 15 radio advertisement and "planned" television advertisements attacking Senator Reid, constitute
- 16 coordinated communications, and thus expressive contributions made to Ms. Angle and the Angle
- 17 Committee. Id. at 2-5. The complaint further alleges that the disolaimer on HRV's radio
- advertisement was deficient, and that HRV impermissibly uses the name of a federal candidate in
- 19 its title. *Id.* at 5-6.
- 20 HRV and Mr. Tarkanian submitted a joint response ("HRV Response") denying that Mr.
- 21 Tarkanian was an "agent," as defined by the Commission's regulations, of the Angle Committee
- 22 because he did not possess actual authority to represent the Angle campaign within the meaning
- of 11 C.F.R. § 109.3(b). HRV Response at 3. The HRV Response also states that HRV's radio

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- advertisement contained an adequate disclaimer, id. at 4, and the presence of Harry Reid's name
- 2 in its title does not violate the prohibition against any unauthorized political committee using the
- name of any candidate in its name because it is not a federal political committee. Id. Finally, the
- 4 joint response of the Angle Committee and Sharron E. Angle ("Angle Response") denies that
- 5 any of HRV's public communications were coordinated. Angle Response, at 1.

B. Legal Analysis

1. Coordination Allegations

Expenditures made by any person in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of, a candidate, his or her authorized political committees, or their agents, shall be considered to be a contribution to such candidate. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i). The Commission's regulations provide that any expenditure for a communication is considered an in-kind contribution to a campaign if it is (1) paid for by an entity other than the campaign,

(2) meets certain content standards, including electioneering communications, public communications that contain express advocacy, or public communications that clearly identify a candidate for the Senare within 90 days of an election; and (3) meets certain conduct standards.

See 11 C.F.R. §§ 109.20 and 109.21. For the purpose of coordinated communications, as:

"agent" is defined as any person who has actual authority, either corpress or implied, to engage in certain enumerated activities on behalf of a federal candidate, including, inter alia, to request or suggest that a communication be created, produced, or distributed; to make or authorize a communication that meets one or more of the content standards set forth in 11 C.F.R.

The Commission resently revised its consideration communications content panage (11 C.F.R § 189.24(c)(3) and (c) (5)) in response to the Circuit Court's decision in *Shays v. FEC*, 528 F.3d 914 (D.C. Cir. 2008). The new regulations were effective December 1, 2010. See Explanation and Justification, Coordinated Communications, 75 Fed. Reg. 55947 and 55952 (September 15, 2010). Because the activity in this matter occurred prior to December 1, 2010, we are applying the prior regulation, but our coordination analysis includes only 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(4)(i), not the revised subsections.

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 6 of 15

- 1 § 109.21(c); to request or suggest that any other person create, produce, or distribute any
- 2 communication; or to be materially involved in decisions regarding the communication's
- 3 content, intended audience, means or mode, specific media outlet, timing or frequency, or size or
- 4 prominence of printed communication, or duration of a communication by means of broadcast,
- 5 cable or satellite. 11 C.F.R. § 109.3(b)(1)-(6).
- 6 HRV filed FEC Form 5, Reports of Independent Expenditures Made and Contributions
- 7 Received, disclosing contributions from individuals and corporations in the amount of \$46,550
- 8 and independent expenditures of \$39,826.24, all of which were described as opposing candidate
- 9 Harry Reid. Included in those expenditures were payments of \$2,135 to Red Clay
- 10 Communications, Inc. for a radio advertisement on September 1, 2010. It does not appear that
- 11 HRV ran any of the television advertisements it allegedly planned to run at the time of the
- 12 complaint.
- The radio advertisement meets the payment and content prongs of the coordination
- regulations because it was paid for by HRV, an entity other than the campaign, and consisted of
- a public communication referring to a clearly identified Senate candidate publicly disseminated
- in the candidate's jurisdiction 90 days or fewer before the general election. See 11 C.F.R.
- 17 §§ 109.21(c)(4)(i), and 100.26. However, based on the complaint and the respenses and as
- 18 explained below, HRV's expenditure for the radio advertisement does not appear to meet the
- 19 conduct prong. In addition, the costs associated with the radio advertisement, \$2,135, do not
- 20 exceed the Act's \$5,000 contribution limit to political committees, and HRV did not make any
- other contributions to the Angle committee that would make this alleged in-kind contribution
- 22 excessive. 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(1)(C) and 441a(f).

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 15

Even if the cost of the alleged communications exceeded \$5,000, there is insufficient 1 information that they were coordinated with the Angle campaign. The complaint's allegation that 2 the radio advertistment was coordinated because Mr. Tarkanian was apparently an agent of the. 3 Angle Committee rests in part on Tarkanian's appearance at an event called "Gun Rights Night in 4 Nevada." which was paid for and authorized by the Angle Committee, and at which both he and 5 6 Ms. Angly spoke. See Complaint at Attachment A. The advertisument for the event lists Mr. Tarkenian as a guest speaker on the topic "Is Harry really for gun rights?" and kints key note 7 8 speaker Angle as the "US Senate Candidate that will defeat Harry Reid." Id. The allegation also 9 relies on Mr. Tarkanian's hosting of "Tark Week," which consisted of seven days of campaigning for the Republican Party, including joining volunteers in calling people to ask them to support 10 Reid's opponent, Ms. Angle. Complaint at 2. According to the complaint, "[e]ven apart from 11 12 Tarkanian technically acting as an agent of Angle's campaign, HRV's communications are probably still 'coordinated communications'" because "Angle or her campaign have probably 13 requested or suggested that HRV create its ads, been materially involved or had substantial 14 15 discussions about the creation of their ads, or otherwise coordinated their activities." Id. at 5. 16 To support its position that there was no coordination, the HRV Response attaches a sworn deplacation from Mr. Tarkanian in which he evers that he sless not held, nor has he ever 17 held a position within the Angle campaign. See Declaration of Daniel J. Tarkanian, at ¶ 5. He 18 further avers that he does not possess any authority from the Angle campaign to request or 19 suggest that a communication be created, produced, or distributed; make or authorize any 20 communication; or be materially involved in decisions or hold substantial discussions regarding 21 communications. Id. at ¶ 6. He further avers that he has not received any non-public 22 information about the plans, projects, activities, or needs of the Angle campaign; and, to his

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 15

- knowledge, no agent of the Angle campaign has requested, suggested, or assented to any
- 2 communication sponsored by HRV, nor had any material involvement in the creation,
- production, or distributionies any communication sponsored by HRV. Id. at ¶ 7-9. See 11
- 4 C.F.R. § 109.3(b).

5 HRV and Mr. Tarkanian contend that campaigning for the Republican Party, joining

6 volunteers at a phone bank, and surving as a guest speaker at an event do not prove that Mr.

Tarkanian was an "agent" of the Angle campaign. HRV Response at 2-3. They further maintain

that the conduct standards of 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(d) have not otherwise been met, because the

9 expenditures for the radio advertisement were not made at the request or suggestion of the Angle

campaign, nor was there any material involvement, or substantial discussion regarding the

advertisements between Mr. Tarkanian and the Angle Committee. Id. and Declaration of Daniel

J. Tarkanian, at ¶¶ 5-9. See 11 C.F.R. § 109.3(b). The Angle Response also denies that there

was any coordination involving the HRV communications, and contends that Mr. Tarkanian's

appearance as a guest speaker at a campaign event does not meet the conduct prong's evidentiary

standard under 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(d). Angle Response at 1.

Based on the complaint's reasons for alleging that Mr. Tarkanian was an "agent" for coordination purposes, and Mr. Tarkanian's sworn declaration, it does not appear that he meets the definition of "agent" set forth at 11 C.F.R. § 109.3(b). Mr. Tarkanian's volunteering at a phone bank in support of Angle, speaking at an event also featuring the candidate, and registering a political committee to oppose Senator Reid do not, by themselves or in conjunction, show that he had actual authority to create or distribute communications on behalf of the Angle campaign. Further, these activities do not provide a sufficient nexus to support the allegation that the Angle Committee "probably" made requests or suggestions, was materially involved in,



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 9 of 15

- or had substantial discussions about HRV's communications, an allegation specifically denied by
- 2 both Mr. Tarkanian and the Angle Committee. Complaint at 5; HRV Response at 2-3 and at
- 3 Tarkanian Declaration at ¶¶ 5-9mangle Response at 1. Given that Mr. Tarkanian was a 2010
- 4 Republican primary candidate, it is not surprising that he would oppose Senator Reid in the
- 5 general election. Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe
- that Friends of Sharron Angle and Alan B. Mills, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Sharron
- 7 E. Angle violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f), and that Harry Raid Votos, and Allison Van Over in her
- 8 official capacity as treasurer, and Daniel J. Tarkanian violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a).

2. Alleged Prohibited Use of Candidate's Name

The complaint alleges that HRV, a non-connected political committee, impermissibly included the name of a federal candidate, Senator Harry Reid, in its official title. Complaint at 5-6. The Act and the Commission's regulations prohibit the use of a candidate's name in the officially registered name of an unauthorized committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4) and 11 C.F.R. § 102.14(a). There are regulatory exceptions that apply to 1) delegate committees; 2) draft committees; and 3) special projects and other communications of unauthorized committees, if the title clearly and unanabiguously shows opposition to the named candidate. 11 C.F.R. 102.14(b)(1)-(3); Explanation and Jurisfication, Special Fundraising Projects and Other Use of Candidate Names by Unauthorized Committees, 59 Fed. Reg. 17267 (April 12, 1994).

In its response to the complaint, HRV maintains that the prohibition against using a federal candidate's name in the title of an unauthorized committee does not apply to it, because it is not a political committee. HRV Response at 4. HRV's response further maintains that even if it were a political committee, its title would be lawful, because the possibility of "confusion" and "abuse" is not present here, given that "[a]ll materials that feature HRV's name 'clearly and



18

19

20

21

22

23

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 10 of 13

unambiguously show opposition' to candidates like Senator Harry Reid, and make plain that

2 HRV combats policies those candidates would enact." Id.

The Act's prohibition on the use affeandidate names applies to unauthorized "political 3 committees." 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4). HRV, an unauthorized committee, registered with the 4 Commission with the name "Harry Reid Votes," and during the next five weeks, while so 5 registered, received contributions and made expenditures, including the radio advertisement 6 7 disclosed above. See HRV FEC Form 1 Statement of Organization, filed August 24, 2010. HRV did not seek to change its status until a complaint had been filed against it, and giving effect to an 8 9 attempt to terminate or to void a political committee's registration in these circumstances would 10 create the possibility of abuse. Moreover, a registered political committee may only terminate by following the procedures of 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.3 (termination of registration) or by operation of 11 12 102.4 (administrative termination), neither of which has taken place. Even then, based on long-13 time Commission practice, a registered political committee may not terminate if it is involved in an enforcement action (MUR), an audit, or litigation with the Commission. In the case of a 14 15 committee involved in an unresolved MUR, this prohibition maintains an extant entity with 16

HRV does not allege that it is a delegate committee or draft committee. Therefore, the regulatory exceptions at 11 C.F.R. § 102.14(b)(1) and (2) do not apply. The regulatory exception at 11 C.F.R. § 102.14(b)(3) provides that an unauthorized committee may use a candidate's name in a special project if the title clearly and unambiguously shows opposition to the named candidate. Not only is the title "Harry Reid Votes" not clearly and unambiguously in opposition

15

16

17

18

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 11 of 15

- to Senator Reid, but HRV does not contend that it is a special project name. While HRV
- 2 maintains that the content of its materials that feature Harry Reid's name show opposition to the
- named candidate, the exception applies to the titles of projects or other communications
- by unauthorized committees, without reference to the content. 11 C.F.R. § 102.14(b)(3);
- 5 Explanation and Justification, Special Fundraising Projects and Other Use of Candidate Names
- by Unauthorized Committees, 59 Fed. Reg. 17267 (April 12, 1994). Therefore, HRV violated
- 7 the Act and the Commission's regulations by registering as an unauthorized political committee
- 8 that used a candidate's name in its official title. 3 See Advisory Opinion 1995-09 (NewtWatch)
- 9 (the Commission advised an unauthorized committee opposed to then-Speaker of the House of
- 10 Representatives Newt Gingrich that "the term 'NewtWatch" may not be used as part of the
- 11 Committee's name). Given the foregoing, we recommend that the Commission find reason to
- believe Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated
- 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4) and enter into pre-probable cause to believe conciliation with them.

3. Alleged Disclaimer Violation

The complaint alleges that HRV's radio advertisement that aired on September I, 2010, did not include the appropriate disclaimer because it is a public communication and did not state that it was "Paid for by Karry Reid Votes," did not include its address, phone namber, or web address, nor a statement whether it was authorized by any condidate. Complaint at 6. All public

In MUR 6213(DUMPREID), the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed the compigint with a caution against an unanthesized committee for, inter alia, using the mans of a fisderal candidate in an acronym in the committee's title, that otherwise used its full name (which did not include a candidate's name). In that MUR, the committee filed an amended Form 1 stating that its name was "Decidedly Unhappy Mainstream Patriots Rejecting Evil-mongering Incompetent Democrats (DUMPREID PAC)." See MUR 6213. The Commission determined that the committee may have violated 2 U.S.C. § 432(c)(4) and 11 C.F.R. § 102.14(a) by including Senator Reid's name as past of the commistee's official name in its initial Form 1 filing, but the committee's use of Reid's name in its wabsite, www.dumpreid.com, was permissible because the website was a special project whose title was clearly and unambiguously in epposition to Senator Reid. See MUR 6213 (DUMPREID PAC) Factual and Legal Analysis at 3 - 5.

1	communications, as defined by 11 C.F.R. § 100.26, made by a political committee must include
2	a disclaimer. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(1). If the communication is not
3	authorized by a candidate, an authorized political committee a candidate, or its agents, it must
4	clearly state the name and permanent street address, telephone number, or World Wide Web
5	address of the person who paid for the communication, and that the communication is not
6	authorized by any sundidate or candidate's committee. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(3) and 11 C.F.R.
7	§ 110.11(b)(3). A radio communication that is not authorized by a candidate or the candidate's
8	authorized committee must also include au audio statement that " is responsible for
9	the content of this advertising" with the name of the political committee or other person paying
10	for the communication in the blank. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(d)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(4)(i).
11	According to HRV's response, the disclaimer rules do not apply to the radio
-12	advertisement because it is not an electioneering communication given that it aired more than 60
13	days before the 2010 general election. HRV Response at 4, n.16; see also 11 C.F.R.
14	§§ 100.29, 110.11(a)(4). HRV's response also states that even if the disclaimer rules do apply,
15	they were not violated because the radio advertisement contained the statement "Harry Reid
16	Votes is responsible for the content of this advertising" and included HRV's website address. Id.
17	A transsript of the radio advertisement is as follows:
18	SPOT ONE: WAGING WAR
19 20 21 22	Right now, a war is being waged in Nevada. Liberals are funneling millions into our state to reelect their puppet Harry Reid. What Does Nevada say? NO MORE.
23	NO MORE to Senator Reid's uncontrolled spending.
24	NO MORE to his secret backroom meetings that will bankrupt future generations.
25 26	NO MORE to his taxes on hardworking families and businesses.
27	Protect Newada today by visiting HarryReidVotes.com to learn 1001
28	reasons to fire Harry Reid.
29	Harry Reid Votes is responsible for the content of this advertisement.

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 13 of 15

- 1 See http://www.advocacyink.com/posts/independent-political-committee-launches-statewide-
- 2 <u>radio-buy-harryreidvotescom-to-run-1st-in-s.com</u> (last accessed March 16, 2011).

The disclaimer for this radio advertisement, which is a pulple communication, does not

- fully comply with the Act and the Commission's regulations. Because HRV was a registered
- 5 non-connected political committee when it ran this advertisement (which was before it notified
- 6 the Commission that it considered its registration an error), the disclaimer should have included
- 7 its parmament streat address, that it paid for the communication, and that the communication was
- 8 not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(3) and
- 9 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(3). It apparently complied with the audio statement required for radio
- communications, and included its name and website address. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(d)(2) and
- 11 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(4)(i). Therefore, we recommend that the Commission find reason to
- 12 -believe Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer violated
- 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and authorize pre-probable cause to believe conciliation with them.

14	III.	DISCUSSION OF	CONCILIA	TION PROVISIONS	AND CIVII	PENALTY
17	2220	DIDCODDION OF	CONCLUM	TIOIA I NO A IDIOIAD	THE CIVIL	

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

First General Counsel's Report Page 14 of 15 IV. **RECOMMENDATIONS** -. 1. Find no reason to believe Friends of Sharron Angle and Alan B. Mills, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Sharron E. Angle violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). 2. Find no reason to believe Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer, and Daniel J. Tarkanian violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a). 3. Find reason to believe Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4). 4. Find reason to believe Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a). 5. Enter into pre-probable cause to believe conciliation with Harry Reid Votes and Allison Van Over, in her official capacity as treasurer. 6. Approve the Attached Factual and Legal Analyses. 7. Approve the attached conciliation agreement.

8. Approve the appropriate letters.

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.)

MUR 6377 (Friends of Sharron Angle, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 15 of 15

4	منتف	Christopher Hughey
6		Acting General Counsel
7		
8	4(27)4	BY: Slepher Jule
9	Date	Stephen A. Gura
10		Deputy Associate General Counsel for
11		Enforcement
12	•	
13		Sun 7 Tokanul
14 15		Sugan L. Lebeaux
16		Acting Deputy Associate General Counsel
17	•	for Enforcement
18		about allaga
19		Comment Comments
20		Christine C. Gallagher
21		Attorney
22 23	Attachments:	
25 24		ation for Harry Reid Votes
2 - 7		on Notice for Section 527 Status for Harry Reid Votes
26		

Form **8871**

Political Organization Notice of Section 527 Status

OMB No. 1545-160

Paral General Infor	mation	
1 Name of organization		Employer Manufification number
HARRY REID VOTES		27 - 3285024
2 Mailing address (P.O. box or su 3008 CAMPBELL CIRCLE	mber, street, and reco	ns or swite number)
City or town, state, and ZIP code		
LAS VEGAS, NV 89107		
3 Check applicable boxs	∠ Initial notice	Amended notice Final setice
4a Date established 08A 8/8910	•	4b Date of material change
5 E-mail address of organization no@cmail	• • •	
(a. Name of exstedion of records	·	6b Custodian's address
DANNY TARKANIAN		3008 CAMPBELL CIRCLE
	•	Las vegas, nv 99107
7a Name of contact passes		76 Contact person's address
DANNY TARKANIAN		3008 CAMPBELL CIRCLE
		Las Vegas, NV 89107
8 Business address of organizatio 3008 CAMPBELL CIRCLE	n (if different from m	nilling address shown above). Number, street, and room or suits number
City or town, state, and ZIP code LAS VEGAS, NV 89107		
Sa Election authority		96 Election authority identification aunaber
NONE		
Part II Notification	of Claim of Ex	emption From Filing Certain Forms (see Instructions)
	exemption from filing	g Perm \$872, Political Organization Report of Contributions and Expenditures, as a qualified state or lo
10b If 'Yes,' list the state where t	he organization files o	reports:
11 In this organization chiming or local efficient? Yes No ∠	exemption from Alling	; Farin 990 (or 998-EE), Retiran of Organium ion Example from Ensume Yex, as a conces or associations of
Part III Purpose		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12 Describe the purpose of the or	ganization	

Attachment	·:·	2		
Page		of_	a	

Check If the	organization bus no related ent	ile	
4a Name o	of related entity	14b Relationship	14c Address
•			
Part V	List of All Officers, I	Directors, and Highly (Compensated Employees (see Instructions)
16a Name		15b Title	15e Address
Van Ore	*	Officer	3008 CAMPBELL CIRCLE
			LAS VEGAS, NV 89107
			·
			·
			
· · ·			
·			ed in Part I is to be treated as a tax-exampt organization described in section 527 of
·	Internet Revenue Code, and to and belief, it is true, correct, a	hat I have examined this notice,	ed in Part I is to be treated as a tox-exempt organization described in eaction 827 or including accompanying achedules and statements, and to the best of my knowle at I am the official authorized to sign this report, and I am signing by entering my m
	Internal Revenue Code, and the and belief, it is true, correct, a beliew,	hat I have examined this notice,	including accompanying achedules and statements, and to the best of my knowle at I am the official authorized to sign this report, and I am signing by entering my n
	Internet Revenue Code, and to and belief, it is true, correct, a	hat I have examined this notice,	including accompanying achedules and statements, and to the best of my knowle
Sign	Internal Revenue Code, and the and belief, it is true, correct, a beliew,	hat I have examined this notice, and complete, I further declare th	including accompanying achedules and statements, and to the best of my knowle at I am the official authorized to sign this report, and I am signing by entering my n